# **EXHIBIT 16**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CERTIFIED COPY

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, Judge

PATRICK CALHOUN, et al., on behalf of themselves and all		Evidentiary Hearing
others similarly situated,	)	Pages 1 - 271 and 321 - 330
Plaintiffs,	)	
vs.	)	NO. C 20-05146 YGR
GOOGLE LLC,	)	
Defendant.	) _)	Oakland, California Monday, October 24, 2022

### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: Simmons Hanly Conroy LLC

112 Madison Avenue, Seventh Floor

New York, New York 10016

BY: JAY BARNES,

JENNIFER M. PAULSON,

AN V. TRUONG, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Bleichmar Fonti & Auld LLP 555 12th Street, Suite 1600 Oakland, California 94607

BY: ANGELICA M. ORNELAS,

LESLEY E. WEAVER, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Reported By: Raynee H. Mercado, CSR No. 8258

Proceedings reported by electronic/mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D.)					
2						
3	For Plaintiffs:	DiCello Levitt LLC				
4		60 East 42nd Street, Suite 2400 New York, New York 10165				
5	В	BY: DAVID A. STRAITE, ATTORNEY AT LAW				
6		DiCello Levitt (IL) DiCello Levitt LLC				
7	_	Ten N. Dearborn Street, 6th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601				
8	В	BY: SHARON D. CRUZ, ATTORNEY AT LAW				
9	For Defendant:	~				
10		191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606				
11	В	BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO,  JOSEPH H. MARGOLIES, ATTORNEYS AT LAW				
12		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP				
13	_	865 S. Figueroa Street, Floor 10 Los Angeles, California 90017				
14	В	BY: STEPHEN A. BROOME, ALYSSA G. OLSON,				
15		VIOLA TREBICKA, ATTORNEYS AT LAW				
16		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 1300 I Street N.W				
17	В	Washington, D.C. 20005 BY: JOSEF T. ANSORGE, ATTORNEY AT LAW				
18		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP				
19		711 Louisiana Streeet, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77002				
20	В	BY: BRETT N. WATKINS, ATTORNEY AT LAW				
21		Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor				
22	В	New York, New York 10010 BY: JOMAIRE A. CRAWFORD, ATTORNEY AT LAW				
23						
24		000				
25						

<sub>1</sub> [				$\neg$
1	<u>INDEX</u>			
2				
3		DA CE	VOL.	
4		PAGE	VOII.	
5	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. SCHAPIRO	14	1	
6	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. BARNES	22	1	
7	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SHAPIRO	321	1	
8	CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. BARNES	325	1	
9				
10	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.	
11	ZERVAS, GEORGIOS			
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. TREBICKA	27	1	
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNES	33	1	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED) BY MS. TREBICKA	35	1	
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNES	87	1	
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. TREBICKA	132	1	
17				
18	SHAFIQ, ZUBAIR			
19	EXAMINATION BY THE COURT	80	1	
20				
21	BERNTSON, GLENN			
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOME	135	1	
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. BARNES	149	1	
24				
25				

```
1
      previewed early where we have an objection to Mr. Kleber's
 2
      testimony. We call him in lieu -- we wanted 30(b)(6)
 3
      testimony from Google. Google agreed to that. That's all we
 4
      wanted him for.
 5
           Google did not submit an affirmative outline for
      Mr. Kleber as required by the Court's order, and we object to
 6
 7
      his testimony.
 8
                MS. TREBICKA: And, Your Honor --
 9
                THE COURT: Noted.
          Next witness.
10
11
                MS. TREBICKA: Michael Kleber.
12
                THE CLERK: Mr. Kleber, please raise your right hand.
13
                             MICHAEL KLEBER,
14
      called as a witness by the defendant, having been duly sworn,
15
      testified as follows:
16
                THE CLERK: Thank you. You may put your hand down,
17
      be seated, and state your full name and spell your last name.
18
                THE WITNESS: Michael Kleber, K-L-E-B-E-R.
19
                THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.
20
           You may proceed.
21
                THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
22
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
      BY MS. TREBICKA:
           Thank you, Mr. Kleber. And I'll just give you a caution.
24
25
      We've had a problem with witnesses going a little fast.
                                                                 So
```

- we're going to take it slow. It's later in the day. We want to give the court reporter an easier time taking down everything that's written.
  - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Mr. Kleber, what is your -- where are you employed?
- 6 A. At Google.

4

5

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- **Q.** What is your position at Google?
- A. I am a principal software engineer working on the PrivacySandbox.
  - Q. And are you with a particular product within Google?
    - A. With the Chrome web browser.
- 12 **Q.** Understood.
  - You mentioned the Privacy Sandbox. What is the Privacy Sandbox?
  - A. It's an effort started by Google a few years ago to change the way the web works to make it much more private than it has been in the past, without breaking things that are important about the web.
  - Q. What do you mean without breaking things that are important about the web?
  - A. Anytime you want to change the web, you need to do so carefully and thoughtfully because there are lots of websites that depend on the way the web works. The efforts to make the web more private include things like removal of third-party cookies which are used by many different parts of the web. So

just removing them risks breaking a lot of things.

- Q. And you mentioned that the Privacy Sandbox is an initiative started by Google. It -- has it remained within Google?
- A. The Privacy Sandbox was started by Google initially. But it's an effort to change the entire web. And like all efforts to change the way the web works, it involves collaboration among many different parties.

So a lot of the pieces of the Privacy Sandbox now include ideas that came from a number of other parties, including other web browsers and including other affected companies.

- Q. What do you mean when you say other affected companies?
  Who are the other stakeholders who provide input to the
  Privacy Sandbox?
- A. So certainly the input process is open to anyone who wants to participate. It generally takes place in a public forum.

  Most notable among these forums is the W3C, or the Worldwide

  Web Consortium.

Aside from browsers, other parties who are interested include many folks who provide the technology that makes the web work, and notably among those for the Privacy Sandbox includes ad tech companies.

Q. And as part of your role with the Privacy Sandbox, do you have any familiarity with how other browsers may experiment to increase user privacy without breaking the web in your -- in

your words?

- A. Yes, absolutely. The reason that we all get together in the W3C is to provide a venue where all the different browser vendors can talk to one another about the ideas that we are proposing or experimenting with or developing, with the goal of us all coming to eventually a common understanding of what the good ideas are for the way the web should change.
- Q. Has any one browser found a complete solution to the third-party cookies that doesn't interfere with functionality?
- A. No, certainly not.
- Q. Does Chrome block third-party cookies?
- A. Currently in Chrome, third-party cookies are blocked in Incognito, or the Chrome's private browsing mode, but are not blocked in regular mode.
  - Q. Are there any settings in Chrome that would allow users to block third-party cookies?
    - A. Yes. Chrome, like other browsers, has a large range of settings, including one that allows all cookies no matter what, one that breaks all cookies no matter what, even first-party cookies. The web doesn't work very well if you use that setting. One that turns off third-party cookies in general, yes.
  - Q. And all those settings are native to Chrome; is that --
- **A.** That's right.
  - **Q.** -- right?

1 Α. Those are all settings that are built into Chrome. 2 Does Safari block by default all third-party cookies? Q. 3 No, it does not. Α. Will you explain how -- will you explain your answer? 4 Ο. 5 THE COURT: Can I ask first, though? MS. TREBICKA: 6 Yes. 7 THE COURT: Incognito in private browsing mode, 8 that's only if you're -- if you have a Chrome account and 9 you're logged in? 10 No. Actually Incognito mode is a way THE WITNESS: 11 that you can open up a new tab inside of the Chrome web 12 browser, completely irrespective of whether you're logged in 13 or whether you have a Google account. It's a way of signaling to the browser that you intend to do some browsing that you 14 15 hope to be more private than the standard. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 THE WITNESS: And so the browser does things like 18 erase all of the data about what happened in Incognito mode 19 when you're done with that. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And then what about private 21 browsing modes, do you have to be logged in for that one? 22 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Private browsing mode is not a 23 specific -- it's more of a general term that is used to 24 describe something like this across different browsers.

there is a private browsing mode kind of thing in Firefox and

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Raynee H. Mercado, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR Friday, October 28, 2022